Backgrounder: Optimizing A Public Sector Innovation Platform

Public sector innovation is a top-of-mind subject in government hallways across Canada. Innovation in the public sector has taken on new urgency as austerity budgets accelerate the necessity to re-think how government services can be re-thought or even how in some cases the system can shift from service delivery to tackling root causes that have given rise to the demand for services.

Ambitious public sector reform necessarily will range from new policies, to new ways of engaging with provincial and national innovation ecosystems, and to creating innovation Labs that support change makers inside government.

As John Kao says, innovation needs a home where the professional innovation discipline can enhance opportunities for new solutions to emerge as people meet, interact, experiment, ideate and prototype.

A Lab can tackle issues ranging from unemployment and social assistance through to new ways for the formal health system to synergize with the informal or natural care system. Labs are adept at developing up-stream program innovations addressing root causes of complex challenges where current expenditure remains trapped managing symptoms. Lab strategies create more engagement -- and improved ongoing relationships -- with the public. Labs generate new ways to attract new resources. Labs reduce the cost of how things are done.

In a context of fiscal restraint, how can a small innovation Lab:

- Make the most of its own resources by leveraging the broader innovation ecosystem, and
- Best serve the needs of the public sector reform mandate by effectively embracing co-creation?

Global experience points to these next generation public sector innovation principles:

- Being vested in a co-creation approach, involving users of all stages of development
- Working in co-production with multiple partners from all sectors beyond government -- business, community, the household and citizens
- Participating in, and being influenced by, the range of innovation disciplines
- Connecting into the growing networks of innovation practitioners and social entrepreneurs to share resources, promote collaboration and creativity
- Having access to dedicated and conducive physical space for the development and rapid prototyping of new ideas.

Christian Bason from MindLab recognizes that ultimately “the ambition for innovation labs is that they succeed in powering the innovation ecosystem.”

Fortunately there are a growing number of global innovation experiences that Canadian jurisdictions can learn from (see a sample list of worldwide leaders below). Provinces have the opportunity to implement best practice approaches, building on others’ successes and learning from their shortcomings. Rather than simply replicating what exists, a province can lead by constructing a next generation innovation Lab where public sector innovation meets social innovation.

Modern public sector innovation units and Labs are a marked departure from past practice when government saw itself as uniquely positioned to unilaterally develop and apply knowledge to tackle a societal need in a linear, hierarchical fashion.
As today’s problems evolve from “complicated” to “complex”, the expert-led approach falls short. This shift is further accentuated by fiscal constraints that force more creative thinking about what are new approaches and new resources that can be combined in novel ways to achieve more ambitious outcomes.

There is a growing recognition of the role of innovation units or Labs core to:
- Perceive and articulate a common understanding of a challenge
- Creatively identify possible solutions
- Experiment, prototype, test, outreach
- Implement.

The approach taken in establishing an innovation platform or Lab will determine the scale and type of innovation generated.

If a province’s goal is to create truly disruptive innovations, it needs to ensure the innovation platform’s framework is capable of going beyond mainstream adaptation and reform.

As illustrated by the In/Out Matrix, based on work by leading innovation thinkers Charles Leadbeater and Christian Bason, the range of innovations that can be developed dramatically expands by employing innovation approaches linking with partners outside the existing system.
First generation public sector innovation units, like Denmark’s inter-ministerial MindLab or RED, the research and development team within the UK’s Design Council, have become landmark pioneers. But they also illustrate the constraints of innovation units tightly bound inside of governmental structures. They are subject to limitations on how to approach problem-solving and how flexibly they can access outside partnerships. In the case of RED, the constraints of government funding and control led its founders to seek greater autonomy by leaving the Design Council and creating the free-standing innovation hub, Participle.

As Particle explains its flexible role, “we do two things: Firstly, bring together the widespread community-level ideas and creative activity, and mix it with world-leading experts in any given field; Secondly, drive forward thoughts and actions around developing a new social settlement which can deal with the big social issues of our time.”

**Learning Air Canada’s Rule: No Baggage**

Large institutions like governments bring unavoidable baggage (aka “anti-innovation DNA”) to the innovation process: established rules, norms and protocols, legal directives, risk-averse accountabilities, hierarchical structure, departmental silos, and limited networks, to name a few. As Clayton Christensen identifies in *The Innovator’s Dilemma*, even in the most well-meaning of structural circumstances (e.g. committed private businesses with institutional leadership, financial and human resources, etc) there are sometimes insurmountable institutional barriers to innovation.

For any provincial innovation Lab to be able to develop radical or disruptive new ways to deliver value to citizens, its design and location will be very important considerations.

**What Does Radical Mean?**

NESTA, the UK’s leading innovation resource on how innovation can solve the country’s major economic and social problems, describes “radical efficiency” as “all about different, better and lower cost public services. It is about innovation that delivers much better public outcomes for much lower cost. Radical efficiency is not about tweaking existing systems. Radical efficiency is about generating new perspectives on old problems to enable a genuine paradigm shift in the services on offer – and transform the user experience.”

**Opportunity to Cluster Labs at the Innovative Edge of the Public Service**

One province, which is planning how it should develop a co-production environment for its innovation Lab, is exploring whether it makes more sense to co-invest in a tri-sectoral Lab platform serving multiple founders rather than create its own Lab. Another province is looking at how an inside of government platform could operate in an innovation hub outside of government, sharing resources and an immediate ecosystem with a non-profit innovation Lab. That government Lab could be both firmly an “owned” provincial entity and still access key resources vital to its success factors by co-locating in the more porous and innovation friendly cultural environment of an innovation hub.

Tim Draimin | Social Innovation Generation (SiG) | www.sigeneration.ca
Innovation Labs: Global Leaders

NESTA  http://www.nesta.org.uk
Participle UK  http://www.participle.net
MIT's AgeLab  http://agelab.mit.edu/aboutagelab
Adam Kahane's Change Lab  http://reosppartners.com
MIT's Media Lab  http://www.media.mit.edu/
Finance Innovation Lab  http://thefinancelab.org/?p=452
Change Labs  http://www.changelabs.net/
Helsinki Design Lab  http://helsinkidesignlab.org/
Harvard Innovation Lab  http://i-lab.harvard.edu/
SlowLab  http://www.slowlab.net/ideas.html
Ezio Manzini – DESIS  www.sustainableeveryday.net/SEPhome/home.html
Sustainable Food Lab  http://www.sustainablefoodlab.org/
InWithFor  http://www.inwithfor.org/Category/media/
Family by Family  http://familybyfamily.org.au/
Stanford-D-School  http://dschool.stanford.edu/
Social Innovation Lab for Kent  http://socialinnovation.typepad.com/silk/
The Insight Labs  http://www.theinsightlabs.org/
Forum of the Future  http://www.forumforthefuture.org/the-lab
MindLab  http://www.mind-lab.dk/en
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